REMARKS

The Office Action of January 29, 2009 has been carefully considered. Claim 1 was pending in the application and was rejected. Claim 1 been amended. Amended claim 1 is supported by original claim 1 and by Example 7 at page 19, line 1through page 27, line 24.

35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. It is believed that the amendment to the claim moots the rejection.

35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Jang et al (KR 03-39872). The examiner has conceded that Jang does not disclose the prevention or treatment of osteoporosis. It is believed that the amendment to the claim overcomes the rejection.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and request that all claims be allowed. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should he believe that this would expedite prosecution of this application. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2165.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 1, 2009

Attorney for Applicant

PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.

29 Thanet Road, Suite 201

Princeton, NJ 08540

Tel: 609 924 8555 Fax: 609 924 3036